



UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

REGION 4
ATLANTA FEDERAL CENTER
61 FORSYTH STREET
ATLANTA, GEORGIA 30303-8960

SEP 19 2017.

CERTIFIED MAIL 7010 1060 0002 1703 8617
RETURN RECEIPT REQUESTED

Mr. Ron Austin
Plant Manager
Dunbar Foods Corporation
1000 South Fayetteville Avenue
Dunn, North Carolina 28334

Re: Consent Agreement and Final Order No. CWA-04-2017-4508(b)
Dunbar Foods Corporation, Dunn, North Carolina

Dear Mr. Austin:

Enclosed is a fully executed copy of the Consent Agreement and Final Order that has been finalized by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency Region 4 and the Regional Judicial Officer. Please make note of the provisions under Paragraph IV. Payment.

Should you have any questions or concerns, please contact Mr. Kenneth Kwan at (404) 562-9752 or via email at kwan.ken@epa.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink that reads "Molly P. Davis".

Molly P. Davis, Acting Chief
NPDES Permitting and Enforcement Branch
Water Protection Division

Enclosure

cc: Mr. Mike Randell
North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality

Mr. Toby Vinson
North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality

**UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 4**

IN THE MATTER OF:)	
)	
DUNBAR FOODS CORPORATION)	CONSENT AGREEMENT AND
DUNN, NORTH CAROLINA,)	FINAL ORDER
)	
RESPONDENT.)	DOCKET NO. CWA-04-2017-4508(b)
<hr/>		

2017 SEP 19 AM 7:29
HEARING CLERK
USEPA REGION 4
OFFICE OF REGIONAL
COUNSEL

CONSENT AGREEMENT

I. Statutory Authority

1. This is a civil penalty proceeding pursuant to Section 309(g)(2)(A) of the Clean Water Act ("CWA"), 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(A), and the *Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties, Issuance of Compliance or Corrective Action Orders and the Revocation, Termination or Suspension of Permits*, including Subpart I, published at 64 Fed. Reg. 40,176 (July 23, 1999) and codified at 40 Code of Federal Regulations ("C.F.R.") Part 22.

2. The authority to take action under Section 309(g)(2)(A) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(A), is vested in the Administrator of the United States Environmental Protection Agency (EPA). The Administrator has delegated this authority to the Regional Administrator of the EPA, Region 4, who in turn has delegated this authority to and through the Director of the Water Protection Division, of the EPA, Region 4, to the Chief of the NPDES Permitting and Enforcement Branch of the EPA, Region 4 ("Complainant").

II. Allegations

3. Dunbar Foods Corporation ("Respondent") is a corporation formed under the laws of the State of North Carolina and is therefore a "person" within the meaning of Section 502(5) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(5).

4. At all times relevant to this action, Respondent owned and/or operated a bell peppers and sweet potato processing and canning facility ("Facility"), as identified by Standard Industrial Classification (SIC) Code 2033, located at 1000 South Fayetteville Avenue, Dunn, North Carolina 28334.

5. To accomplish the objective of the CWA, defined in Section 101(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1251(a), to restore and maintain the chemical, physical and biological integrity of the nation's waters, Section 301(a) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311(a), prohibits the discharge of pollutants by any person into waters of the United States except as in compliance with a National

Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (“NPDES”) permit issued pursuant to Section 402 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1342.

6. Section 402 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1342, establishes a NPDES Permit Program authorizing the EPA or authorized states to administer the NPDES Permit Program, including the issuance of NPDES permits allowing for the discharge of pollutants, including stormwater, into navigable waters subject to specific terms and conditions. The EPA has granted the State of North Carolina, through the North Carolina Department of Environmental Quality (NCDEQ), approval to issue NPDES permits pursuant to Section 402(b) of the CWA.

7. The NCDEQ issued *General Permit to Discharge Stormwater Under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System*, Permit No. NCG060000 (Permit) for establishments primarily engaged in food and kindred products in accordance with the North Carolina General Statute 143-215.1 and the CWA. The Permit became effective December 1, 2012, and will expire on October 31, 2017. Coverage under the Permit is obtained by submitting a Notice of Intent (NOI) to NCDEQ.

8. The Respondent’s permit was renewed on December 4, 2012, and requires Respondent to comply with all provisions of the Permit.

9. Part II, Section A, Paragraph 1(a) of the Permit requires that the Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SPPP) contain: “A general location map showing the facility’s location in relation to transportation routes and surface waters; the name of the receiving waters to which the stormwater outfalls discharge,” and “accurate latitude and longitude of the points of stormwater discharge associated with industrial activity,” as well as “identify whether any receiving waters are impaired or if the site is located in a watershed for which a TMDL has been established.”

10. Part II, Section A, Paragraph 1(b) of the Permit requires that the SPPP contain: “A narrative description of storage practices, loading and unloading activities, outdoor process areas, dust or particulate generating or control processes, and waste disposal practices. A narrative description of the potential pollutants that could be expected to be present in the stormwater discharge from each outfall.”

11. Part II, Section A, Paragraph 1(c) of the Permit requires that the SPPP contain: “A site map drawn at a scale sufficient to clearly depict: the site property boundary; the stormwater discharge outfalls; all on-site and adjacent surface waters and wetlands; industrial activity areas; site topography and finished grade; all drainage features and structures; drainage area boundaries and total contributing areas for each outfall; direction of flow in each drainage area; industrial activities occurring in each drainage area; buildings; stormwater Best Management Practices (BMPs); and impervious surfaces. The site map must indicate the percentage of each drainage area that is impervious, and the site map must include a graphic scale indication and north arrow.”

12. Part II, Section A, Paragraph 1(e) of the Permit specifies: “The permittee shall recertify annually that the stormwater outfalls have been evaluated for the presence of non-stormwater discharges.”

13. Part II, Section A, Paragraph 5 of the Permit specifies: “Inspections of the facility and all stormwater systems shall occur as part of the Preventative Maintenance and Good Housekeeping Program at a minimum on a semi-annual schedule . . .”

14. Part II, Section A, Paragraph 6 of the Permit specifies: “Training programs shall be developed and training provided at a minimum on an annual basis for facility personnel with responsibilities for: spill response and cleanup, preventative maintenance activities, and for any of the facility’s operations that have the potential to contaminate stormwater runoff. The facility personnel responsible for implementing the training shall be identified, and their annual training shall be documented by the signature of each employee trained.”

15. Part II, Section A, Paragraph 7 of the Permit specifies: “The SPPP shall identify a specific position or positions responsible for the overall coordination, development, implementation, and revision of the SPPP.”

16. Part II, Section A, Paragraph 8 of the Permit specifies: “The permittee shall amend the SPPP whenever there is a change in design, construction, operation, site drainage, maintenance or configuration of the physical features which may have a significant effect on the potential for discharge of pollutants to surface waters. All aspects of the SPPP shall be reviewed and updated on an annual basis.”

17. Part II, Section B of the Permit requires that stormwater samples be collected after a dry weather interval of at least 72 hours from a previous storm event and within the first 30 minutes of discharge (first flush condition).

18. Part II, Section B of the Permit specifies: “If the first valid sampling results are above a benchmark value, or outside of the benchmark range, for any parameter at any outfall; Then: The permittee shall conduct a stormwater management inspection of the facility within two weeks of receiving sampling results. Identify and evaluate possible causes of the benchmark value exceedance. Identify potential and select the specific: source controls, operational controls, or physical improvements to reduce concentrations of the parameters of concern, and/or to bring concentration within the benchmark range. Implement the selected actions within two months of the inspection. Record each instance of a Tier One response in the SPPP. Include the date and value of the benchmark exceedance, the inspection date, the personnel conducting the inspection, the selected actions, and the date the selected actions were implemented.”

19. Part III, Section C, Paragraph 1 of the Permit specifies: “The permittee shall at all times properly operate and maintain all facilities and systems of treatment and control (and related appurtenances) which are installed or used by the permittee to achieve compliance with the conditions of this Permit.”

20. Part III, Section D, Paragraph 4 of the Permit requires that test procedures for the analysis of pollutants conform to 40 C.F.R. Part 136. 40 C.F.R. Part 136 specifies that Oil and Grease (O & G) samples shall be collected in a glass container.

21. On February 9, 2016, representatives of the EPA, in conjunction with the NCDEQ, performed a Compliance Stormwater Evaluation Inspection (“CSWEI”) at the Facility to evaluate the Respondent’s compliance with the requirements of Section 301 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311; the regulations promulgated thereunder at 40 C.F.R. § 122.26; and the Permit.

22. Based on EPA’s observation during the CSWEI and the review of information provided to EPA by the Respondent, the EPA has determined that the Respondent has failed to comply with the CWA, its implementing regulations, and the Permit.

23. Respondent’s stormwater drainage plan in its SPPP, which serves both as the general location map and site map, did not depict any receiving waters information to which the stormwater outfalls discharge in violation of Part II, Section A, Paragraph 1(a) of the Permit.

24. Respondent’s SPPP, dated February 1998, violated Part II, Section A, Paragraph 1(b) of the Permit by failing to address the following:

- a. The SPPP did not have any narrative description of the potential outdoor pollutant sources and the potential pollutants associated with each source.
- b. The runoff from material storage area in front of warehouse 4 was not identified and evaluated in the SPPP as a potential pollutant source.
- c. The industrial activity associated with outdoor washing of the wooden pallets was not identified and evaluated in the SPPP as a potential pollutant source.

25. Respondent’s stormwater drainage plan in its SPPP, which serves both as the general location map and site map, did not depict any site topography and finished grade, drainage features, and percentage of each drainage area that is impervious in violation of Part II, Section A, Paragraph 1(c) of the Permit.

26. The Facility had no annual non-stormwater discharge re-certification records in the past five years in violation of Part II, Section A, Paragraph 1(e) of the Permit.

27. The Facility had no preventative maintenance and good housekeeping inspection records in violation of Part II, Section A, Paragraph 5 of the Permit. Section 7.1 of the SPPP requires these inspections to be conducted on a semi-annual schedule in accordance with the Permit. However, another section of the same SPPP requires these preventative maintenance inspections to be conducted on a more stringent monthly schedule (see Section 3.1 of the SPPP).

28. The security guard who has the responsibility of checking for leaks and spills at night did not have any training concerning spill response procedures and spill reporting in violation of Part II, Section A, Paragraph 6 of the Permit.

29. The roles and responsibilities of various team members in the SPPP are outdated, which is in violation of Part II, Section A, Paragraph 7 of the Permit.

30. Respondent's SPPP, dated February 1998, violated Part II, Section A, Paragraph 8 of the Permit by failing to amend, update, and modify according to the following site conditions and operations:

- a. The SPPP does not reflect the current Permit requirements which became effective on December 12, 2012.
- b. Annual updates have not been conducted as required by the Permit for the past seven years.
- c. The runoff from the material storage area in front of warehouse 4 was not identified and evaluated in the SPPP as a potential pollutant source.

31. Sample collection records did not have adequate documentation of rainfall date and sampling collection time in violation of Part II, Section B of the Permit.

32. Respondent exceeded the benchmark values for Total Suspended Solids (TSS) at outfall 1 on October 15, 2014, and August 8, 2015. In these two instances of TSS exceedances, there were no dates given for the implementation of erosion and sediment control. During this two-year period, there were no status reports on the effectiveness of each Tier One response in the SPPP and in the routine site inspection reports in violation of Part II, Section B of the Permit.

33. Respondent violated Part III, Section C, Paragraph 1 of the Permit regarding proper operation and maintenance practices. During EPA's on-site evaluation of pollutant sources and SPPP implementation, the following operation and maintenance deficiencies were observed:

- a. Trash deposit was observed on top of the main storm drain.
- b. The concrete secondary containment for the # 2 diesel tank had no lock to prevent personnel from accidentally opening the valve.
- c. Used oil was dripping down the side of a tank onto the ground and draining out of the covered area. Also, no spill kit was observed in the machine shop area.
- d. A chemical drum in an open storage area lacked secondary containment to prevent spills.
- e. Two containers were used for the disposal of rejected or damaged can products. Holes were punched in these cans to release the sweet potato syrup. The syrup was allowed to leak onto the ground and drain into a storm drain that tied in to a holding tank. The area near the storm drain did not have adequate containment or berm to prevent contaminated stormwater from draining offsite.
- f. Two trash dumpsters did not have covers to minimize exposure to stormwater.

34. Laboratory bench data showed that O & G samples were collected in a plastic bottle instead of a glass bottle in violation of Part III, Section D, Paragraph 4 of the Permit.

35. Based on the CSWEI and due to the hydrology of the Facility and historic rainfall data, the EPA has determined that from September 2012 to October 2016, stormwater associated with industrial activities discharged to a drainage ditch to Juniper Creek, a tributary of the Black River. The Black River is a traditionally navigable water of the United States as defined by Section 502(7) of the Act, 33 U.S.C. § 1362(7) and its implementing regulations at 40 C.F.R. § 122.2. This discharge occurred while the Facility was in violation of the Permit.

36. Therefore, the Respondent has violated Section 301 of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1311.

III. Stipulations and Findings

37. Complainant and the Respondent have conferred for the purpose of settlement pursuant to 40 C.F.R. § 22.18 and desire to resolve this matter and settle the allegations described herein without a formal hearing. Therefore, without the taking of any evidence or testimony, the making of any argument or the adjudication of any issue in this matter, and in accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.13(b), this Consent Agreement and Final Order (“CA/FO”) will simultaneously commence and conclude this matter.

38. For the purposes of this CA/FO, the Respondent admits the jurisdictional and factual allegations set out above.

39. The Respondent hereby waives its right to contest the allegations set out above and its right to appeal the Final Order accompanying this Consent Agreement.

40. The Respondent consents to the assessment of and agrees to pay the civil penalty as set forth in this CA/FO and consents to the other conditions set forth in this CA/FO.

41. By signing this CA/FO, the Respondent certifies that the information it has supplied concerning this matter was at the time of submission, and is, truthful, accurate, and complete for each such submission, response and statement. The Respondent realizes that there are significant penalties for submitting false or misleading information, including the possibility of fines and/or imprisonment for knowing submission of such information.

42. The EPA reserves the right to assess and collect any and all civil penalties for any violation described herein to the extent that any information or certification provided by the Respondent was materially false or inaccurate at the time such information or certification was provided to the EPA.

43. Complainant and the Respondent agree to settle this matter by their execution of this CA/FO. The parties agree that the settlement of this matter is in the public interest and that this CA/FO is consistent with the applicable requirements of the CWA.

IV. Payment

44. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(2)(A) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(A), and 40 C.F.R. Part 19, and considering the nature of the violations and other relevant factors, the EPA has determined that twenty-seven thousand dollars (\$27,000) is an appropriate civil penalty to settle this action.

45. The Respondent shall submit payment of the penalty specified in the preceding paragraph within thirty (30) days of the effective date of this CA/FO via a cashier's or certified check, payable to the order of "Treasurer, United States of America." The check shall reference on its face the name of the Respondent and the Docket Number of this CA/FO. Such payment shall be tendered to:

U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
Fines and Penalties
Cincinnati Finance Center
P.O. Box 979077
St. Louis, Missouri 63197-9000

For other payment options (ex: Wire Transfers, Overnight Mail, ACH, and On Line) please refer to Attachment A.

46. At the time of payment, the Respondent shall send a separate copy of the check, if using that payment option, and a written statement that payment has been made in accordance with this CA/FO, to the following persons at the following addresses:

Regional Hearing Clerk
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4
61 Forsyth Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960

and

Ms. Mary Mattox
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4
Water Protection Division
NPDES Permitting and Enforcement Branch
Municipal and Industrial Enforcement Section
61 Forsyth Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960

47. The penalty amount specified above shall represent civil penalties assessed by the EPA and shall not be deductible for purposes of federal taxes.

48. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(9) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(9), failure by the Respondent to pay the penalty assessed by the CA/FO in full by its due date may subject the Respondent to a civil action to collect the assessed penalty plus interest (at currently prevailing rates from the effective date of this CA/FO), attorney's fees, costs for collection proceedings and a quarterly nonpayment penalty for each quarter during which such failure to pay persists. Such nonpayment penalty shall be in an amount equal to twenty percent (20%) of the aggregate amount of such penalty and nonpayment penalty which are unpaid as of the beginning of such quarter. In any such collection action, the validity, amount and appropriateness of the penalty and of this CA/FO shall not be subject to review.

V. General Provisions

49. This CA/FO shall not relieve the Respondent of its obligation to comply with all applicable provisions of federal, state, or local law, nor shall it be construed to be a ruling on, or determination of, any issue related to any federal, state or local permit. Other than as expressed herein, compliance with this CA/FO shall not be a defense to any actions subsequently commenced pursuant to federal laws and regulations administered by the EPA.

50. Issuance of this CA/FO shall not be deemed as prohibiting, altering, or in any way limiting the ability of the EPA to pursue any other enforcement actions available to it under law. Such actions may include, without limitation, any administrative, civil, or criminal action to seek penalties, fines, injunctive, or other appropriate relief, or to initiate an action for imminent and substantial endangerment, under the CWA or any other federal or state statute, regulation, or permit.

51. Except as otherwise set forth herein, this CA/FO constitutes a settlement by Complainant and the Respondent of all claims for civil penalties pursuant to the CWA with respect to only those violations alleged in this CA/FO. Except as otherwise set forth herein, compliance with this CA/FO shall resolve the allegations of violations contained herein.

52. Nothing in this CA/FO is intended to nor shall be construed to operate in any way to resolve any criminal liability of the Respondent, or other liability resulting from violations that were not alleged in this CA/FO.

53. Each undersigned representative of the parties to this CA/FO certifies that he or she is fully authorized to enter into the terms and conditions of this CA/FO and to execute and legally bind that party to it.

54. This CA/FO applies to and is binding upon the Respondent and its officers, directors, employees, agents, successors and assigns.

55. Any change in the legal status of the Respondent, including but not limited to any transfer of assets of real or personal property, shall not alter the Respondent's responsibilities under this CA/FO.

56. Each party shall bear its own costs and attorney's fees in connection with the action resolved by this CA/FO.

57. In accordance with 40 C.F.R. § 22.5, the individuals below are authorized to receive service related to this proceeding:

For Complainant:

Patrick B. Johnson
Associate Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4
61 Forsyth Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960
(404) 562-9574

For Respondent:

Karen M. Kemerait
Of Counsel
Smith Moore Leatherwood LLP
434 Fayetteville Street, Suite 2800
Raleigh, NC 27601
(919) 755-8764

58. The parties acknowledge and agree that this CA/FO is subject to the requirements of 40 C.F.R. § 22.45(c)(4), which provides a right to petition to set aside a proposed CA/FO based on comments received during the public comment period.

59. Pursuant to Section 309(g) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g), and 40 C.F.R. § 22.38(b), Complainant represents that the State of North Carolina was provided a prior opportunity to consult with Complainant regarding this matter.

60. Effective upon signature of this CA/FO by the Respondent, the Respondent agrees that the time period commencing on the date of its signature and ending on the date the EPA receives from the Respondent the payment required by this CA/FO shall not be included in computing the running of any statute of limitations potentially applicable to any action brought by the EPA related to the matters addressed in this CA/FO and that, in any action brought by the EPA related to the matters addressed, the Respondent will not assert, and may not maintain, any defense or claim based upon principles of statute of limitations, waiver, laches, estoppel, or other defense based on the passage of time during such period. If the EPA gives notice to the Respondent that it will not make this CA/FO effective, the statute of limitations shall begin to run again commencing ninety days after the date such notice is sent by the EPA.

VI. Effective Date

61. The effective date of this CA/FO shall be the date on which the CA/FO is filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk.

AGREED AND CONSENTED TO:

BY THE RESPONDENT, DUNBAR FOODS CORPORATION:

Ron Austin

Ron Austin
Plant Manager
Dunbar Foods Corporation

Date: 07-20-2017

For COMPLAINANT, U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION 4:

Denisse D. Diaz

Denisse D. Diaz, Chief
NPDES Permitting and Enforcement Branch
Water Protection Division
U.S. EPA, Region 4

Date: 9/14/17

UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY
REGION 4

IN THE MATTER OF:)
)
DUNBAR FOODS CORPORATION) CONSENT AGREEMENT AND
DUNN, NORTH CAROLINA) FINAL ORDER
)
RESPONDENT.) DOCKET NO. CWA-04-2017-4508(b)
_____)

FINAL ORDER

In accordance with the *Consolidated Rules of Practice Governing the Administrative Assessment of Civil Penalties, Issuance of Compliance or Corrective Action Orders and the Revocation, Termination or Suspension of Permits*, including Subpart I, 40 C.F.R. Part 22, and authorities delegated to me, the foregoing Consent Agreement is hereby approved and incorporated by reference into this Final Order. Pursuant to Section 309(g)(2)(A) of the CWA, 33 U.S.C. § 1319(g)(2)(A), the Respondent is hereby ordered to comply with the terms of the foregoing Consent Agreement.

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY

Date: September 14, 2017

Tanya Floyd
Tanya Floyd
Regional Judicial Officer

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that a true and correct copy of the attached **CONSENT AGREEMENT AND FINAL ORDER** in the matter of Dunbar Foods Corporation, Docket No. CWA-04-2017-4508(b) (filed with the Regional Hearing Clerk on 9-19, 2017) was served on 9-19, 2017, in the manner specified to each of the persons listed below.

By hand-delivery: Patrick B. Johnson
Associate Regional Counsel
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4
61 Forsyth Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960

By certified mail,
return receipt requested: Karen M. Kemerait
Of Counsel
Smith Moore Leatherwood LLP
434 Fayetteville Street, Suite 2800
Raleigh, NC 27601

Mike Randell, Stormwater Program Engineer
NC Division of Energy, Mineral and Land Resources
NC Department of Environmental Quality
1612 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1612

Toby Vinson, Chief of Program Operations
NC Division of Energy, Mineral and Land Resources
NC Department of Environmental Quality
1612 Mail Service Center
Raleigh, NC 27699-1612



Patricia A. Bullock
Regional Hearing Clerk
U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Region 4
61 Forsyth Street, S.W.
Atlanta, Georgia 30303-8960
(404) 562-9511

ATTACHMENT A

COLLECTION INFORMATION

WIRE TRANSFERS:

Wire transfers should be directed to the Federal Reserve Bank of New York

Federal Reserve Bank of New York

ABA = 021030004

Account = 68010727

SWIFT address = FRNYUS33

33 Liberty Street

New York NY 10045

Field Tag 4200 of the Fedwire message should read "D 68010727 Environmental Protection Agency"

OVERNIGHT MAIL:

U.S. Bank

1005 Convention Plaza

Mail Station SL-MO-C2GL

St. Louis, MO 63101

Contact: Natalie Pearson

314-418-4087

ACH (also known as REX or remittance express):

Automated Clearinghouse (ACH) for receiving US currency

PNC Bank

808 17th Street, NW

Washington, DC 20074

Contact – Jesse White 301-887-6548

ABA = 051036706

Transaction Code 22 - checking

Environmental Protection Agency

Account 310006

CTX Format

ON LINE PAYMENT:

There is now an On Line Payment Option, available through the Dept. of Treasury.

This payment option can be accessed from the information below:

WWW.PAY.GOV (Enter sfo 1.1 in the search field Open form and complete required fields).